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## Survey Results – EXCEL Skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function Type</th>
<th>COMFORTABLE</th>
<th>NEITHER COMFORTABLE NOR UNCOMFORTABLE (IN THE MIDDLE)</th>
<th>UNCOMFORTABLE</th>
<th>I DO NOT KNOW WHAT THAT IS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General functions (e.g. SUM, AVERAGE, COUNT)</td>
<td>100,00 % (10)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate functions (e.g. VLOOKUP, IF, COUNTIF)</td>
<td>55,56 % (5)</td>
<td>33,33 % (3)</td>
<td>11,11 % (1)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced functions (e.g. SUMPRODUCT, Index/Match)</td>
<td>11,11 % (1)</td>
<td>55,56 % (5)</td>
<td>33,33 % (3)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data visualisation (e.g. charts, sparklines)</td>
<td>66,67 % (6)</td>
<td>33,33 % (3)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
<td>0,00 % (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pivot tables</td>
<td>44,44 % (4)</td>
<td>11,11 % (1)</td>
<td>33,33 % (3)</td>
<td>11,11 % (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 participants
Survey Results – Trade Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I AM FAMILIAR WITH THIS CLASSIFICATION AND THERE IS NO NEED FOR ME TO REVIEW THIS TOPIC.</th>
<th>I AM FAMILIAR WITH THIS CLASSIFICATION, BUT I AM HAPPY TO REFRESH MY KNOWLEDGE.</th>
<th>I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THIS CLASSIFICATION AND IT IS NOT OF MY INTEREST.</th>
<th>I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THIS CLASSIFICATION, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO LEARN MORE ABOUT IT.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harmonized System (HS) - Customs</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
<td>40,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) - Statistics</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>70,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) - Economic Activity</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
<td>50,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Economic Categories (BEC) - Broad Classification</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>40,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>50,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of Trade according to Economic Use or Destination (CUOED)</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>90,00 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 participants
# Survey Results – Trade Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Familiar</th>
<th>Happy to Review</th>
<th>Not Familiar</th>
<th>Not Familiar to Learn More</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Export, Import Intensity</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>80,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of Trade</td>
<td>40,00 %</td>
<td>60,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of Trade Index</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
<td>50,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarity Index</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>50,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grubel and Lloyd Index (for intra-industry trade analysis)</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>60,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of technological intensity in trade (acc. to Lall)</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>70,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market share of exports, imports</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>60,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balassa index (comparative advantage indicator)</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
<td>50,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revealed comparative advantage (comparative advantage indicator)</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
<td>60,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (degree of diversification/concentration of trade)</td>
<td>10,00 %</td>
<td>70,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Price Indices</td>
<td>20,00 %</td>
<td>50,00 %</td>
<td>0,00 %</td>
<td>30,00 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 participants
### Survey Results – Input-Output-Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am familiar with the methodology of input-output table analysis.</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have worked with input-output tables.</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like to learn more about the potential uses of input-output tables.</td>
<td>90.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input-output table analysis is not relevant to me.</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 participants
1. Brief motivation on the use of Basic Trade Indicators
ECLAC’s Data Bases

CEPALSTAT

External sector
Balance of Payments
Foreign trade of goods services

SIGCI
MAGIC

Prices

Conjuncture
Partners, products

Input-Output Tables

CEPALIT
BADEHOG

External Consultations General Public
Trade Intensity (Maps)
Partners / Products Indicators
Technology Intensity

Internal inquiries
Classifications
Partners
To the researcher's liking
Large queries
Trade Structure

Related projects
National IOT Project
Region (LA-18)
New IOT 2019

Economic Outlook, GDP, Balance of Payments, Population, Socioeconomic Indicators, among others.

International trade information
Monthly data X-M goods
Port information
Quarterly data X-M

Conjuncture

Economic Outlook, GDP, Balance of Payments, Population, Socioeconomic Indicators, among others.

International trade information
Monthly data X-M goods
Port information
Quarterly data X-M
There exist various websites supplying data, providing information on and visualizing trade indicators:


COMTRADE, the United Nations trade database

- International,
- Weekly update of annual periods,
- All Member States (+ Economies than National Customs bases),
- Current Dollars,
- Quantity units (Kg and 2nd unit),
- Classifications: HS, SITC and BEC.
- http://comtrade.un.org

We shared with you a COMTRADE Database which includes the countries: Antigua & Barbuda, Barbados, Santa Lucía, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, X and M, 2017-2020

Data availability for Caribbean countries is limited in COMTRADE.
WTO STATS offers a data base for trade in services

- **Balance of payments (BOP)**
  - **Goods and Services**
    - **Goods**
      - General merchandise
      - Goods a transformation
      - Repair of goods
      - Goods acquired in port by means of transport
      - Non-monetary gold
    - **Services**
      - Transportation
      - Travel
      - Others
        - Communications services
        - Construction services
        - Insurance services
        - Financial services
        - Computer and information services
        - Royalties and license fees
        - Other business services
        - Personal, cultural and recreational services
        - Government services

[https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/statis_e.htm](https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/statis_e.htm)

We shared with you a data base on Services from the WTO that includes all countries, X and M, 2014-2019.
General elements of the SIGCI (main elements of a consultation)

We will use the UN platform SIGCI to get to know the tool and to visualize some of our example analyses. The data in SIGCI is based on the Comtrade Database.
Motivation: The analysis of trade indicators

• The analysis of trade indicators is key to measure performance and to design sustainable and effective Trade Policy;
• There are multiple possibilities of analysis;
• This course has as main objective to provide tools to select the best indicators, and to suggest some included in SIGCI. E.g.:
  • Information on trends, trade intensity and the structure of trade,
  • Trade analysis according to partners and sectors,
  • Use of synthetic indicators.
• Rather than presenting data, a proper analysis should reveal causalities. For example, it should explain why flows are increasing or decreasing.
• The analysis is more than a mere description of the economic situation.

Before analyzing the data, we need to understand what our data looks like.

Indicators presented today can be applied to both, goods and services.
i. Use of international classifications (HS, SITC, BEC, ISIC, CPC)
International Trade Classifications

These are the specific forms in which trade statistics are collected. There are several, and the use of one or another depends on the characteristics of each one and the purposes of the research. The most commonly used classifications are:

- Harmonized System (HS) - Customs
- Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) - Statistics
- International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) - Economic Activity
- Broad Economic Categories (BEC) - Broad Classification
- Classification of Trade according to Economic Use or Destination (CUODE)
The Harmonized System, unlike its predecessors (NG, NAB and NCCA) expands the categories from four to six digits.

It maintains an almost complete correspondence with the new SITC. The classification is administered by the World Customs Organization.

It has General Rules of Interpretation of the system; Explanatory Notes for sections, chapters and subheadings, and an Alphabetical Index of headings and subheadings if appropriate.

This classification is the basis for the determination of import tariffs and trade rules of origin.
Example of International and National Harmonized System - (EU)

This classification is the basis for the determination of import tariffs and trade rules of origin.
It is a classification of international trade for statistical purposes. The classification criteria have been retained over time to ensure conceptual consistency between revisions:

- The nature of the merchandise and the materials used in its production;
- The degree of processing;
- The market practices and uses of the product;
- The importance of the product in world trade; and
- Technological changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of Digits</th>
<th>Rev.1</th>
<th>Rev.2</th>
<th>Rev.3</th>
<th>Rev.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sections</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divisions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroups</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic items</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>2824</td>
<td>2970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This classification is the basis for the analysis of trade by industry groups, as well as the breakdown of production chains, for example:

- onions, sauces, chemical compounds, or
- metals, metal products, and machinery
Other classifications and aggregations

FOR INDUSTRY ANALYSIS

• International Standard Industrial Classification of all economic activities (ISIC) Various specialized agencies and United Nations programs have used it in their studies and publications (FAO, UNIDO, ILO and UNESCO, among others). Also, National Statistics Offices make use of it.

• Classification by economic use or destinations (CUODE) Used in sixty (60s) in the period of Industrialization for Industry substitution (IIS)

FOR NATIONAL ACCOUNT ANALYSIS

• Broad Economic Categories (BEC) Primary, processed (final), intermediate, and capital goods

• Central Product Classification (CPC) For production analysis based on their physical properties
CORRELATIONS BETWEEN CLASSIFICATIONS

Explanatory diagram of correlations between different classifications

Source: Own elaboration
## Conversion and Correlation Tables

Download Complete correlations among HS, SITC and BEC

Download Conversion Methodology General Note (2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM / TO</th>
<th>HS 2017</th>
<th>HS 2007</th>
<th>HS 2002</th>
<th>HS 1996</th>
<th>HS 1992</th>
<th>SITC 4</th>
<th>SITC 3</th>
<th>SITC 2</th>
<th>SITC 1</th>
<th>BEC 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 2012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 2007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 2002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 1996</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS 1992</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITC 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITC 3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITC 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITC 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEC 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are some specific aggregations used in particular studies

• Classification by technological intensity
  This classification was developed by ECLAC with the purpose of determining the technological intensity in the manufacturing exports. To do that, ECLAC follows the approach developed by Sanjaya Lall, an Indian trade economist graduated from Oxford University.

• Classification for environmentally sensitive industries
  This classification was developed by Low and Yeats (1992) and cover some sensible industries: pulp and paper, petroleum product, chemical, iron, copper, tin, among others.

• Classification of Information and communication technologies

• Classification of pharmaceutical and medical manufactures (new)
  It links the harmonized system with the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification.

• Classification of Environmental Goods (EG)
Introduction to trade indicators revealing the trade structure of a country

Trade intensity, Market share of exports/imports, Balance of Trade, Balance of Trade Index, Similarity Index
Weights / Structure / Trade Intensity

They are used to consider the relative size of the parties included in the same aggregation, in order to avoid size bias when averaging.

Simple average

$$\text{Simple average} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{N}$$

Weighted average

$$\text{Weighted average} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \times X_i$$

$$\alpha_i = \text{weight}$$

When the structure is similar, then the simple average is close to the weighted average.

Example 2:
Grenada: Top 10 exports, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products (SA)</th>
<th>Exports</th>
<th>Structure Top 10 ($a_i$)</th>
<th>Structure total ($a_i$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spices; mace, ...</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat or meslin flour</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit, edible; fruits ...</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa beans; ...</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog or cat food ...</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish; fresh or chilled, ...</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages, fermented ...</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-alcoholic beverages ...</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper articles; toilet paper</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish; frozen, ...</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Top 10</strong></td>
<td><strong>23,3</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>67%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total exports</strong></td>
<td><strong>34,5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors based on Comtrade.
Weights / Structure / Trade Intensity

Example 3:
Grenada: Trade intensity by export destination, 2019

Source: Authors based on SIGCI.
Market share of exports

• This coefficient measures the degree of market share of exports of a sector $k$ in the total world imports of that sector, in a given year.

• The intuition behind this indicator is that it captures the weight of the share of products in the total world demand for products in a given sector.

• In the case of calculating the market share coefficient for all exports of a country relative to the world demand, the above indicator must be aggregated. The result of this operation gives the weight of the market share of a country’s goods or services as a whole in world demand.

By products

\[
MS^k_i = \frac{X^k_i}{M^k_w}
\]

Total demand

\[
MS_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{X^k_i}{M^k_w}
\]
Relative Trade Balance Index

There exists a large family of indicators that analyze the advantages or disadvantages of trade exchanges of a country with its partners or various groups of countries, the Indices of Comparative Advantages. Its simplest version is also known as Relative Trade Balance:

\[ RTB^k_i = \frac{X^k_{ij} - M^k_{ij}}{X^k_{iw} + M^k_{iw}} \]

The indicator can take positive or negative values, which will be indicative of deficit or surplus in total trade and express disadvantages or advantages in exchanges. An index greater than zero will indicate that the sector has advantages.
### Products with the greatest advantage in Barbados’ Balance of Trade Index with Antigua & Barbuda, Bahamas and Belize, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITC Rev. 2</th>
<th>SITC Rev. 2 Description</th>
<th>Antigua &amp; Barbuda</th>
<th>Bahamas</th>
<th>Belize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>533</td>
<td>Pigments, paints, varnishes and related materials</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>Medicinal and pharmaceutical products</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>Structures and parts, nes, of iron, steel or aluminium</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>Pesticides, disinfectants</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091</td>
<td>Margarine and shortening</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>062</td>
<td>Sugar confectionery and preparations, non-chocolate</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Live animals chiefly for food</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>Petroleum products, refined</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>061</td>
<td>Sugar and honey</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>Veneers, plywood, improved wood and other wood, worked, nes</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036</td>
<td>Crustaceans and molluscs, fresh, chilled, frozen, salted, etc</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058</td>
<td>Fruit, preserved, and fruits preparations</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273</td>
<td>Stone, sand and gravel</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ECLAC, based on COMTRADE data.
Similarity Analysis: Similar Export Baskets

One of the ways to appreciate the possible complementarity or competitiveness between two countries is to analyze how similar the structure of their export baskets is.

The traditional way of doing this is through percentages, either graphed or in a table, which the reader then compares. It is a snapshot of the moment.

There are also synthetic indicators that allow for a more accurate analysis and evolution over time.
Similarity Analysis: Similar Export Baskets

The index measures the difference in the export structures of two countries, calculated as the aggregation of the minimum share of each product group in the total exports of each country or region, to a homogeneous destination market, which can be a sub-region or the world.

It fluctuates between 0 and 1; being 0 in two countries that have totally different trade structures, which will be indicative of the non-existence of competition.

\[ IS = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Min} \left( \frac{X^k_{i}}{X^k_{i}} \right) \]

Exports of a given service (k) by the countries that are to be compared (i, j)

Furthermore, the Index of similarity is useful for comparing or validating different data sources, comparing for example customs data with central bank information.
Saint Kitts & Nevis: Similarity Index for Exports of goods, selected partners, 2017

Similarity Analysis: Similar Export Baskets

Saint Kitts & Nevis: Similarity Index for Exports of goods, selected partners, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Similar</th>
<th>Different</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Lucia</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td>0,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ECLAC, based on COMTRADE data.
TUTORIAL
Introduction to trade indicators
Trade intensity, Market share of exports/imports, Balance of Trade, Balance of Trade Index, Similarity Index,

We created two breakout rooms for the exercises.

• The exercises will be solved by each participant in excel and SIGCI.

• We want to give you the opportunity to practice, answer your questions regarding the use of excel as well as regarding the indicators.

• From the survey we know that the skill levels vary across the participants, which is why we set up two breakout rooms: one for more advanced participants with José Durán and one for participants with less experience in excel and trade indicators with Ira Ronzheimer and Daniel Díaz.

• In order to increase the learning experience for each participant, we kindly ask you to assign yourself to one of the breakout rooms according to your own evaluation. The exercises will be the same in both rooms.
Exercise Proposal

Exercises in Excel

1. Apply the trade intensity indicator by partners (country of your preference).
2. Market share of exports by ISTC (country of your preference).
3. Calculate the relative Trade Balance Index for Jamaica compared to Santa Lucia and Saint Vincent using the SITC Rev. 2 (3-digit) trade classification for 2019.
4. Calculate the similarity index for Antigua and Barbuda and Jamaica using the SITC Rev. 2 3-digit trade classification for 2019 and present your results graphically.

Exercises in SIGCI

2. Compute the Trade Balance of Jamaican coffee for 2019 in SIGCI.
3. In SIGCI, calculate the similarity index for Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Chile, Colombia, and Barbados
Balance of Trade Index: Example SIGCI

The map shows in red the countries with which Grenada has a deficit. In the table, those partners with which Grenada has a surplus are shaded in green.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Balance of Trade Index: Example SIGCI

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Similarity Analysis: Similar Export Baskets: SIGCI Example

**SIGCI - Map-based international trade system**

| Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System. |

**EXAMPLE: SIGCI**

**Similarity Index: 2019: Antigua and Barbuda**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Similarity Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRB</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAM</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECU</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHL</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trade data type**: G - Commodities

**Classification**: SITC Revision 2

**Digit aggregation level**: AG3 - All 3-digit SITC Rev.2 commodities

**Time period**: 2019
WRAPUP SESSION
More advanced trade indicators: intra-industrial trade relations, technological intensity and trade concentration

*Techn. Intensity, Grubel-Lloyd, HHI*
Technological Intensity (Lall)

• Products (SITC Rev. 2) are categorized according to their technological intensity and belong to one of the groups:
  
  • **Primary products:** Primary products are not elaborated, but must still undergo transformation processes to be converted into goods (agricultural, mining, products etc.)
  
  • **Manufactures based on natural resources:** Generally, products derived from natural resources are simple and labor-intensive (e.g. simple food and leather processing), but there are segments that use capital-intensive technologies and technical specialization and require significant economies of scale (e.g. petroleum refining or food processing with modern techniques).
  
  • **Manufactures low technology:** Stable and well-known technologies are used, embedded in capital goods, with low R&D expenditures and simple skill requirements. Wage costs are generally an important cost component and barriers to entry are relatively low.
  
  • **Manufactures medium technology:** They comprise the bulk of products manufactured with technologies with a high level of technical specialization and high economies of scale, corresponding to the group of capital and intermediate goods. They generally require the use of complex technologies, with moderate levels of research and development activities and advanced technical capacity requirements.
  
  • **High technology manufactures:** High-tech products require advanced, rapidly evolving technologies that require high investments in research and development, with product design playing a key role. For the most innovative technologies, high-tech infrastructures and close links between companies and between companies and research institutions may also be necessary.
  
  • Others
Barbados: Global trade by technological intensity, 2019

Barbados: Trade with selected Caribbean partners by technological intensity, 2019

Caribbean countries include: Montserrat, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Grenada, Jamaica, Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago, Haiti, Bahamas, Belize

The export of high technology manufacturers refers almost exclusively to medicinal and pharmaceutical products, these represent 7% of total exports for Barbados in 2019. However, Barbados also imports products from this sector, resulting in a trade deficit equal to 19,0 Mio. USD in 2019.

Source: ECLAC, based on COMTRADE data.
Grubel & Lloyd Index (Intra-industry Trade)

It measures the degree of exchange at the level of products of the same industry; that is, intra-industry trade.

The index is sensitive to the aggregation used; for industries it is recommended to implement the SITC at 3 digits.

It yields results ranging from 0 to 1; three levels are defined:

• greater than 0.33 (signs of **intra-industry trade**),
• greater than 0.10 and less than 0.33 (**potential intra-industry trade**), and
• less than 0.10 (**inter-industry relations**).

This can be done for a single sector or for the country as a whole, but not directly. In turn, it must be weighted for groups of countries.

Mechanically, the share of intra-industry trade rises with the level of sectoral aggregation

\[
\text{For industries} \quad G_{ij}^k = 1 - \frac{X_{ij}^k - M_{ij}^k}{X_{ij}^k + M_{ij}^k}
\]

\[
\text{Between partners} \quad G = 1 - \frac{\sum |X_{ij}^k - M_{ij}^k|}{\sum (X_{ij}^k + M_{ij}^k)}
\]

The absolute amount of exports (X), imports (M) of a given product (k) by the reporting country (i) to its partner (j)

Exports (X), imports (M) of a given product (k) by the reporting country (i) to its partner (j)
Sectors with high intra-industrial relations are:

- Alcoholic beverages
- Other non-electric machinery, tools and mechanical apparatus, nes.
- Household type equipment

These three sectors make up for 43 of bilateral exports in 2019.

In 2015, a range of products were traded bilaterally intra-industrially, driving up the Grubel-Lloyd Index e.g.:

- mineral manufactures
- non-alcoholic beverage
- Gramophones
- paper and paperboard. In 2019, only household equipment and pottery has been traded intra-industrially.
Herfindahl-Hirschman Index

It measures the degree of diversification / concentration; weighting the share of each product or country in its total trade.

The index varies between 0 and 1; an index greater than 0.18 is considered a "concentrated" market, between 0.10 and 0.18 "moderately concentrated", while the range between 0.0 and 0.10 is considered "diversified". High values are indicative of high concentration.

\[ IH = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{X_k}{X_i} \right)^2 \]

Export of a given service by the reporting country

Total exports of the reporting country

The IHH adds up the square of the shares each service has among the total exports of services.

It is recommended that this index be corrected for the number of sectors and/or countries, especially because when only a few sectors are involved, with some having a higher relative weight in the total, the index tends to be higher.

\[ IHH_{norm} = \frac{IH - \frac{1}{n}}{1 - \frac{1}{n}} \]
Herfindahl-Hirschman Index

Barbados, Herfindahl Hirschman index calculated by goods and services 2018-2019

Source: ECLAC, based on Comtrade.
TUTORIAL
More advanced trade indicators: intra-industrial trade relations, technological intensity and trade concentration
Grubel-Lloyd, Techn. Intensity, HHI

1. Calculate the Grubel-Lloyd Index for Guyana and Jamaica for 2019 using the SITC Rev. 2 on the level of three digits.
   • Identify the top 3 sectors in each of the relations (intra-industrial, inter-industrial, potentially intra-industrial)
2. Graph the aggregated exports and imports by Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Belize, Grenada, Jamaica, Santa Lucia and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines including their composition of technological intensity for 2019,
   • Using the world as partner
   • Using the Caribbean countries available in the data base as partners (aggregated)
   • For a country of interest, check which sectors are represented by the different levels of technological intensity
3. Calculate the HHI for St. Kitts and Nevis by product and destination for the last available year.

SIGCI
1. Use SIGCI to calculate the Grubel & Lloyd Index for products for Grenada and the USA for 2018, using SITC Rev. 2.
2. Use SIGCI to calculate the Grubel & Lloyd Index for partners for Jamaica and the other CARICOM countries for 2017, using SITC Rev. 2.
3. Compute the IHH for the exports of services for Antigua y Barbuda, Dominica, Granada, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Santa Lucia and Trinidad and Tobago for the years 2018 and 2019.
4. Compute the IHH for exports in commodities for Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Grenada and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines in SIGCI with respect to i. products and ii. Partners.
Technological Intensity (Lall)

Technological intensity of CARICOM countries compared to Chile and Mexico in 2018.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Grubel & Lloyd Index (Intra-industry Trade): Example SIGCI 1

The System shows the list of products in which the bilateral trade relationship is complementary, i.e. there is two-way trade. The countries trade especially ships and fruits.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Grubel & Lloyd Index (Intra-industry Trade): Example SIGCI 2

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Herfindahl-Hirschman Index: Example i. SIGCI

Except of Antigua and Barbuda, all countries have a low product concentration with respect to their exports.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines as well as Barbados have relatively diversified trading partners with respect to their exports while those of Grenada are only moderately diversified. The countries of exports from Antigua and Barbuda display a very low level of diversification.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
Herfindahl-Hirschman Index: Example ii. SIGCI continued

Analyzing the export intensity of Antigua and Barbuda reveals the relatively low level of diversification regarding its export destinations.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
WRAPUP SESSION
BREAK
More advanced trade indicators: Market share, comparative advantages

*Balassa index, revealed comparative advantage*
Balassa Index

It belongs to the family of comparative advantage indicators. It measures the degree of importance of a product in the exports of one country to another, versus the importance of exports of the same product in the exports of the same country to the world.

The following scales are recommended for reading the normalized indicator: between +0.33 and +1 (advantage for the country); between -0.33 and -1 (disadvantage for the country).

It can also be calculated for individual cases.

\[ IB = \frac{X_{ij}^k}{XT_{ij}} - \frac{X_{iw}^k}{XT_{iw}} \]

**Example 1**

\[ Normalize = \frac{Index - 1}{Index + 1} \]

IB and IB2 are then normalized according to the formula above.
### Balassa Index Analysis: Example

#### Barbados: Balassa Index in selected products and partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HS-6d</th>
<th>Product description</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Jamaica</th>
<th>Trinidad and Tobago</th>
<th>Saint Lucia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>271019</td>
<td>Petroleum oils and oils from bituminous minerals</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220840</td>
<td>Rum and other spirits</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252329</td>
<td>Cement; portland, other than white</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300490</td>
<td>Medicaments; consisting of mixed or unmixed products</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711319</td>
<td>Jewellery; of precious metal (excluding silver)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270900</td>
<td>Oils; petroleum oils</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>902139</td>
<td>Artificial parts of the body; excluding artificial joints</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482110</td>
<td>Paper and paperboard; labels or all kinds, printed</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999999</td>
<td>Commodities not specified according to kind</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151710</td>
<td>Margarine; excluding liquid margarine</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190531</td>
<td>Food preparations; sweet biscuits</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321000</td>
<td>Paints and varnishes;</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853339</td>
<td>Electrical resistors; wirewound variable</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910119</td>
<td>Wrist-watches; electrically operated</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>681091</td>
<td>Cement, concrete or artificial stone</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ECLAC, based on COMTRADE data.
Revealed Comparative Advantage

This second variant measures the degree of importance of a product within the exports of one country to another, versus the importance of imports of the same product in the imports of the destination country from the world; allowing comparison with other countries.

The following scales are recommended for reading the normalized indicator: between +0.33 and +1 (advantage for the country); between -0.33 and -1 (disadvantage for the country).

**Example 1**

\[ IVCR = \frac{X_{ij}^k}{MT_{ij}^k} \]

\[ Normalize = \frac{Index - 1}{Index + 1} \]
TUTORIAL
More advanced trade indicators: Market share, comparative advantages

*Balassa index, revealed comparative advantage*

1. Compute the Balassa Index for a country of your preference.

2. Calculate the Revealed Comparative Advantage Index for a country of your preference.

*SIGCI*

- Compute the Balassa Index for the commodities sugar and honey for Colombia with its partners Jamaica, Santa Lucia, Barbados and Antigua and Barbuda.
Balassa Index Analysis: SICGI Example

Colombia has an advantage over Antigua and Barbuda and Jamaica and a disadvantage in case of Barbados and Saint Lucia in the exports of sugar and honey in 2018.

Source: ECLAC, based on the SIGCI System.
WRAPUP SESSION
5. Use of Input-Output-Table in value chain analysis
Summary

• **Value chain analysis with IOT**
  • Convergence of Regional IOT initiatives
  • Progress between 2020 and 2021
  • Challenges ahead and next steps
The demand for the use of IOT LA ECLAC: Examples of Impact Assessments and public policy analysis

- Effects on GDP
- Welfare
- Employment
- Winning and losing sectors
Requests of national governments and regional institutions

- Support for the re-launching of the MERCOSUR Business Forum (at the request of the Argentine Foreign Ministry). Continued support at the request of the Government of Brazil.

- Sectoral analysis of the productive capacities of the pharmaceutical and medical equipment sector (CELAC).

- Support to the Value Chains program in the countries of the Andean Community through sectoral analysis of productive integration for the section of strategic sectors in their intra-regional trade.

- Technical Assistance to the Pro-Tempore Presidency of the Pacific Alliance (Colombia) in the development of: New input-output matrix 2018; analysis of good practices in trade in services.

- Technical Assistance to the Secretariat for Central American Integration (SIECA) in the development of a new input-output matrix for the subregion and Mexico.

- Assistance to the Association of Caribbean States (ACS) in defining its Strategic Program for the period 2021-2022, identifying a set of challenges to boost and relaunch intra-ACS trade. (PS)

- Support in the design of a strategy for the inclusion of gender statistics in trade. Chile (SUBREI); Uruguay (Ministry of Foreign Affairs); El Salvador (DIGESTYCI) In conjunction with the DAG.

- Advised the Government of Bolivia on the identification of sectors with productive potential for an Import Substitution Program. In conjunction with DEPE and DE.

- Technical assistance to the government of Ecuador in feasibility studies for possible trade agreements with China and Mexico, within the framework of an eventual access to the Pacific Alliance.


The Covid-19 pandemic has increased the demand for intra- and inter-regional value chain analysis in order to estimate the pandemic’s impact and to develop recovery measures. This requires the IOTs to be up to date and a large regional coverage.
Starting point: A simplified view of the national I-O, built using data from National Accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors $i$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final demand</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>FBC</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>Gross Value of Production (VBP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intermediate Demand:** Inputs, consumption or intermediate use ($Z$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imports</th>
<th>Intermediate imported inputs ($Z^I_M$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value added (VA)</td>
<td>Wages and salaries, Gross Operating Surplus, Taxes minus subsidies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total resources</td>
<td>Gross Value of Production (VBP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different frameworks of I-O tables that include more than one country

Regional Matrices (RIOT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumos intermedios</th>
<th>Demanda final</th>
<th>RoW</th>
<th>Output total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pais A</td>
<td>Pais B</td>
<td>Pais C</td>
<td>RoW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^{A,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{A,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{A,C}$</td>
<td>$Y^{A,A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pais B</td>
<td>$Z^{B,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{B,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{B,C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pais C</td>
<td>$Z^{C,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{C,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{C,C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resto mundo (RoW)</td>
<td>$Z^{RoW,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{RoW,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{RoW,C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletes y seguros</td>
<td>$F^{A}$</td>
<td>$F^{B}$</td>
<td>$F^{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total c. intermedios</td>
<td>$T^{A}$</td>
<td>$T^{B}$</td>
<td>$T^{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valor añadido (precios básicos)</td>
<td>$V^{A}$</td>
<td>$V^{B}$</td>
<td>$V^{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output total</td>
<td>Output A</td>
<td>Output B</td>
<td>Output C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cuentas satélite | $f^{A}$ | $f^{B}$ | $f^{C}$ |

Multiregional Matrices (MRIOT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumos intermedios</th>
<th>Demanda final</th>
<th>RoW</th>
<th>Output total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pais A</td>
<td>Pais B</td>
<td>Pais C</td>
<td>RoW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^{A,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{A,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{A,C}$</td>
<td>$Y^{A,A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pais B</td>
<td>$Z^{B,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{B,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{B,C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pais C</td>
<td>$Z^{C,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{C,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{C,C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resto mundo (RoW)</td>
<td>$Z^{RoW,A}$</td>
<td>$Z^{RoW,B}$</td>
<td>$Z^{RoW,C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletes y seguros</td>
<td>$F^{A}$</td>
<td>$F^{B}$</td>
<td>$F^{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total c. intermedios</td>
<td>$T^{A}$</td>
<td>$T^{B}$</td>
<td>$T^{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valor añadido (precios básicos)</td>
<td>$V^{A}$</td>
<td>$V^{B}$</td>
<td>$V^{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output A</td>
<td>Output B</td>
<td>Output C</td>
<td>Output $R^{oW}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cuentas satélite | $f^{A}$ | $f^{B}$ | $f^{C}$ | $f^{D}$ |

Practical applications

We can present results on:

1. Product structure;
2. Trade structure (exports and imports)
3. Internal linkages;
   - Forward
   - Backward
4. Vertical integration (sub-regional value chains)
5. Export related employment;
   - Importance of subregional markets
   - Indirect employment intensity
   - Employment by gender and employment (household surveys)
The IOT of Peru shows the importance of Andean Community over the rest of the commercial partners in the region. Ecuador and Colombia are its main partners.

**Peru: Import structure of intermediate goods, 2011**

*(Percentage of total)*

- **MERCOSUR**: 13%
- **Comunidad Andina**: 15%
- **Chile**: 6%
- **México**: 0%
- **Unión Europea**: 5%
- **China**: 10%
- **Estados Unidos**: 19%
- **Resto del Mundo**: 32%

**Source:** CEPAL based on the IOT of the Andean Community 2011
The Intra-AC trade is even lower relative to the imports from other partners

Andean Commuinity (AC): Import structure of intermediate goods, 2011
(Percentage of total)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of the Andean Community 2011
The largest import intensities of intermediate goods are in only a few sectors

**Andean Community : Import structure of intermediate goods of main sectors, 2011**

*(Percentage of total)*

- Petróleo y minería: 24.6%
- Maquinaria y equipos: 20.4%
- Metales y productos derivados: 18.9%
- Madera, celulosa y papel: 12.7%
- Textiles, confecciones y calzado: 12.0%
- Promedio comercio intra: 12.0%
- Minerales no metálicos: 11.7%
- Otras manufacturas: 11.2%
- Alimentos, bebidas y tabaco: 9.6%
- Automotores y sus piezas y…: 8.0%
- Química y farmacia: 7.9%
- Caúcho y plástico: 6.8%
- Agricultura, silvicultura, caza y…: 6.3%

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of the Andean Community 2011
Inter-industry linkages between sectors

• The chains analysis carried out considers the National IOTs to obtain the Rasmunsen Hirschmann Index (RHI):
  • **Backward linkages**: Capacity of a sector to drag other sectors linked to it due to its demand for intermediate goods required from other sectors.
  • **Forward linkages**: Capacity of a sector to promote other sectors due to its supply capacity, that is, the sale of its products, which in turn are intermediate inputs of other industries.
And linkages with the rest of the world (global/regional value chains)

- The participation of external content in the country, or in neighboring countries
  - **Backward linkages:** Value of imported inputs incorporated in domestic production for the foreign market. The external supply of country B drives the exportable domestic production in country A
  - **Forward linkages:** Domestic added value embedded in the exported production of a third country. \( IM_{BA}/VAX_{BC} = X_{AB}/VAX_{BC} \).
Inter-industry linkages between sectors - Rasmunsen Hirschmann Index (RHI)

• **Backward linkage (power of dispersion):** Index describes the relative extent to which an increase in final demand for the products of a given industry is dispersed throughout the total system of industries.

\[
\sum_i U_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i B_{ij}
\]

\[
\sum_i U_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i B_{ij} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{ij} B_{ij}
\]

• where \( n \) is the number of industries, and \( \Sigma_i B_{ij} \) is the sum of the column elements in the Leontief inverse matrix \( B=(I-\bar{A})^{-1} \). It can be interpreted as the total increase in output from the entire system of industries needed to cope with an increase in the final demand for the products of industry \( j \) by one unit.
Inter-industry linkages between sectors - Rasmunsen Hirschmann Index (RHI)

• **Forward linkage (sensitivity of dispersion):** Index describes the extent to which the system of industries draws upon a given industry. The sensitivity of dispersion index measures the increase in the production of industry $i$, driven by a unit increase in the final demand for all industries in the system.

$$
\sum_i U_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j B_{ij}
$$

• where $\Sigma j B_{ij}$ is the sum of the row elements, which is interpreted as the increase in output in industry $i$ needed in order to cope with a unit increase in the final demand for the product of each industry. The sensitivity of dispersion index has been interpreted as a measure of forward
In the conception of a regional value chain, exporting also requires importing. Also to serve the domestic market.
AC countries show few sectors internally chained backwards and much more chained forward in 2005

Analysis of inter-industry linkages: An approximation of the RH Index, 2005

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America
With the 2011 IOT we can see an improvement in the backward linkages (in the number of sectors)

Analysis of inter-industry linkages: An approximation of the RH Index, 2011

36% of GDP

Bolivia, E.P.

27% of GDP

Perú

Ecuador

19% of GDP

Colombia

Encadenamiento hacia atrás

Encadenamiento hacia adelante

As share of GDP, the share is low

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries
Colombia, forward linkages, 2005 and 2011

Colombia, Analysis of Forward linkages: An approximation of the RH Index, 2005 & 2011

Wood and Wood products
Textiles
Chemical products
transport

have increased their forward linkages

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries (IOT Colombia)
Colombia, backward linkages, 2005 and 2011

Colombia, Backward linkages analysis: An approximation of the RH Index

Wood and Wood products, textiles, wearing apparel, leather products, agroindustry and machinery and equipment increased their backward linkages

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries (IOT Colombia)
Ecuador, Analysis of Forward linkages: An approximation of the RH Index, 2005 & 2011

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries (IOT Ecuador)

Non-ferrous metals
Pharmaceuticals
Medical equipment

Increased their forward linkages
19% of GDP
Ecuador, backward linkages, 2005 and 2011

Ecuador, Backward linkages analysis: An approximation of the RH Index
(number of sectors)

- Meat and meat products: 43% (17 sectors) to 50% (20 sectors)
- Cereal flours: 20% to 30%
- Other food products: 0% to 10%
- Beverages: 10% to 20%
- Wood and Wood products: 20% to 30%
- Textiles, wearing apparel, leather products: 30% to 40%
- Machinery and equipment: 50% to 60%

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries (IOT Ecuador)
The main driving sectors in Ecuador comprise 6.3% of GDP. Agroindustry is the most important (65% pushed own sectors, and 45% for other sectors).

**Ecuador, main driving sectors, 2005**

(Backward RH Index > 1)

- **Meat and meat products (Carne y derivados)**
- **Bakery products (Molinería, panadería)**
- **Sugar and sugar products (Azúcar y confitería)**
- **Other food products (Otros productos alimenticios)**
- **Other manufactures (Otros manufacturas)**
- **Other food products (Otras manufacturas)**
- **Metallic Non-Ferrous (Metales no ferrosos)**
- **Equipment (Maquinaria)**
- **Precision Instruments (Equipo ópticos y de precisión)**
- **Office Equipment (Equipos de oficina)**
- **Telecommunications (Telecomunicaciones)**
- **Manufacturing (Confecciones)**
- **Shoes (Calzado)**
- **Tobacco Products (Productos de tabaco)**
- **Office Equipment (Equipos de oficina)**

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT Ecuador
For 2011 its weight increased to 8.1% of GDP. The agroindustry becomes the most important driving sector, 2011 (Backward RH Index > 1)

Ecuador, main driving sectors, 2011

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT Ecuador
Peru, forward linkages, 2005 and 2011

Peru, Forward linkage analysis: An approximation of the RH Index, 2005 & 2011
(number of sectors)

- **50% of sectors**
  - Agriculture
  - Hunting
  - Mining
  - Non metallic minerals
  - Iron and Steel
  - Chemicals
  - Business Services

- **27% of GDP**

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries (IOT Peru)
Peru, Backward linkage analysis: An approximation of the RH Index (number of sectors)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean countries (IOT Peru)
The main driving sectors in Peru in 2005 represented 26.4% of GDP (besides services, agroindustry, non ferrous metals and very important drivers).

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT Peru, 2005
In 2011, the weight of GDP of the main driving sectors increased to 28% (in 2011 services and agroindustry consolidate)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT Peru, 2011
Main sectors of Peru, linked forward

- A third of all sectors considered in the South American IOT and Andean IOT
  - Agriculture and forestry
  - Mining (copper, zinc, silver, ...)
  - Textiles
  - Pulp, wood and paper
  - Rubber and plastic
  - Non ferrous minerals
  - Electricity and gas
  - Transport
  - Financing
  - Business services (legal, accounting)

- Main markets with linkages
  - China, Japan
  - CAN countries: Ecuador and Colombia;
  - Pacific Alliance: Chile and Mexico
Main sectors of Peru backward linkage

• 53% of all sectors considered for Peru in the South American IOT(40) and Andean IOT (2011)

- Meat and meat products
- Milling products
- Other food products
- Wearing apparel
- Non metallic minerals
- Non ferrous minerals
- Machinery and equipment
- Other manufacturing
- Construction;
- Transport;
- Business Services (legal, accounting, logistics,...)

2 indirect Jobs for each direct job
3 indirect jobs for each direct job
Intensive in direct employment and generate indirect employment
The requirements of imported inputs of total production show that the AC countries require more intermediate inputs.

South America: Requirements of intermediate inputs in total production, 2005

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America
In the Andean Community, on average, more than 80% of value added included in exports is domestic content. It is a little higher in Bolivia and Ecuador.

Andean Community: Domestic Value Added content in exports, 2011
(Percentage of total)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean Community
The decomposition of the origin on intra-regional intermediate inputs shows the linkages between Ecuador, Colombia and Peru.

Andean Community: Intraregional Intermediate Inputs in production, 2005 (% of total)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America, 2005
Such linkage maintains in 2011. In the case of Bolivia is higher with MERCOSUR

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America, 2011
The share of VA in exports can be decomposed in domestic value added and imported. The composition of this varies between sectors.

Peru (2005): Value added in exports, by type (selected sectors) (Percentage of total)

Domestic VA > Imported VA

Domestic VA < Imported VA

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean Community and IOT Peru, 2005
The imported value added can be decomposed in VA of the own sector, the VA of other national sectors, and the imported content can be intra and extra-regional.

Perú: Descomposition of national and Imported value added, 2005

(% of total)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of Andean Community and IOT Peru, 2005
By 2005, exports of the Andean Community helped 8 million jobs. 1.54 million, exports from CAN to South America

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America
In 2005, export related jobs represented 20% of total economic active population in AC.

Employment estimates related to exports in the Andean Community

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Export Jobs</th>
<th>% of Total Economy (Right Axis)</th>
<th>Average CAN (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>1500000</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>1500000</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td></td>
<td>1500000</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perú</td>
<td></td>
<td>27,8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America and employment data.
The IOT from South America allows to answer the following questions: ¿How much employment will the beverage sector in Peru will create in Colombia? 

Employment estimates associated to Colombian exports in the beverage sector of Peru

(Number of Jobs created in various sectors of Colombia)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America, employment data, and sectoral exports
Or: ¿How much employment does the food, beverages and tobacco sector in Colombia drives in Peru?

Export related employment estimates of the food, beverages and tobacco sector in Peru to Colombia

(Number of Jobs created in various sector in Colombia)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America, employment data, and sectoral exports
How many jobs does the demand of intermediate goods of Colombia to Peru create?

**Export related employment from Peru to Colombia (all sectors)**

*(Number of jobs created in various sectors of Peru)*

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America, employment data, and sectoral exports
For Colombia, 10% of total employment is related to exports (2011). AC explains 10% of that total.

Export related employment estimates in Colombia
(Share of total employment by destination)

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America and IOT of Andean Community, and employment data
74% of export related employment in Colombia are men. In Peru is 54%; with 73% of qualified labor.

Source: CEPAL based on the IOT of South America and IOT of Andean Community, employment data, and household surveys for 2005 and 2011.
Exports of goods to AC generate around 148 000 Jobs in various sectors

Ecuador: Employment generated from exports to CAN, 2014
(In number of people and percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Employment explained from exports to CAN</th>
<th>Female Employments</th>
<th>Export employment structure</th>
<th>EI/ED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, hunting and fishing</td>
<td>17.730</td>
<td>5196</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and mining</td>
<td>23.380</td>
<td>4770</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food, beverages and tobacco</td>
<td>23.936</td>
<td>8845</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, Pulp and paper</td>
<td>9.025</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical and Pharmaceutical</td>
<td>2.641</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber and plastic</td>
<td>3.635</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non metallic minerals</td>
<td>5.024</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and other products</td>
<td>2.379</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>8.097</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles and parts</td>
<td>2.853</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other manufactures</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>35.340</td>
<td>15626</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All sectors</td>
<td>147.903</td>
<td>48760</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CEPAL, estimates based on the IOT of Ecuador
Colombia: Employment pattern according to intensity (Direct and/or Indirect), IOT 2011

Colombia, typology of export related employment by sectors and products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct Employment Intensive Sectors</th>
<th>RDE &gt; RIE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and forestry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunting and fishing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining (no energy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles, wearing apparel and leather products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood and Wood products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office equipment, machinery and electronic products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (water, gas, construction, trade, hotels, restaurants, transport, insurance, business services, private education, health, recreational, and domestic services)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect Employment Intensive Sectors</th>
<th>RDE&lt; RIE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mining (energy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat and meat products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling, bakery and pasta products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar and confectionary products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other food products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper products, publishing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic chemical products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber and plastic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non ferrous metals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical equipment and precision instruments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other transport equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplanes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other transport equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity and gas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Peru: Employment pattern according to intensity (Direct and/or Indirect), IOT 2005

#### Peru, typology of export related employment by sectors and products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct Employment Intensive Sectors/Products</th>
<th>Indirect Employment Intensive Sectors/Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDE &gt; RIE</td>
<td>RIE &gt; RDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and forestry</td>
<td>Energy and non energy mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunting and fishing</td>
<td>Meat products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles, wearing apparel and leather</td>
<td>Other food products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>products</td>
<td>Beverages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood pulp</td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Oil and petroleum coke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber and plastic</td>
<td>Basic chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non metallic minerals</td>
<td>Non ferrous metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and steel</td>
<td>Machinery and electric equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal products</td>
<td>Other manufactures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport Equipment</td>
<td>Services (transport, construction and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (electricity and gas, other services)</td>
<td>finance)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions on analysis of value chains that complement the I-O approach

• There are important linkages between countries regarding demand of exports/imports and intermediate goods
  ▪ For example, in Ecuador is 20% of domestic value added (US$ 20 MM)

• ¿What does it mean the value chains in terms of VA, trade and employment?
  ▪ 90% of manufacturing sectors
  ▪ 76% of employment generated by exports in CAN (42% of employment from exports to CAN 63000 to 148000 jobs) 12% export related employment

• Main sectors involved in the supply of intermediate goods, domestic and imported
  • For example, in Ecuador: Agriculture and fishing, Food, Chemicals, Plastics, Wood and paper, Metal and machinery products.
Agenda

• Value chain analysis with IOT
• **Convergence of Regional IOT initiatives**
• Progress between 2020 and 2021
• Challenges ahead and next steps
Stock of IOTs in Latina American region

MERCOSUR IOT
Andean Community IOT
Central America IOT
  + Mexico
  + Chile
  + Dominican Republic

Sub-Regional IOTs
National IOTs

18 National IOTs
40 X 40
Years: 2011, 2014, 2017*
* Only South America
Thinking about the future of working with input-output matrices (ECLAC side)

A MODULAR MATRIX THAT CAN ARTICULATE WITH EXISTING MATRICES

(2005-2011-2014)

Central America-RD
Mexico
CAN
Chile
MERCOSUR
Pacific Alliance
Caribbean

New IOT 2018

IOT 2005; 2011; 2014
Latin American IOTs and TIVA Projects (Partner sides)

FOCALAE
Global IOTs
79 / 71 countries
25 / 20 industries

ECLAC IOTs
2005 (10 countries)
2011 (18)
2014 (18)
40 sectors;
25 sectors;
20 sectors

Asian Development Bank
2011-2019
2007 (71 countries)
2011-2017
(71 countries)
38 sectors

OECD
Trade in Value Added (TIVA)
2005-2015
2005-2015
(64 countries)
38 industries

FIGARO/EUROSTAT
Joint Research Center (JRC)
2010-2019
21/64 industries

Extended classification: 75

Fuente: Elaboración propia

ECLAC IOTs
ADB

ECLAC

14. October 2021
José Durán | ECLAC
Much of the critical path to achieve a Global IOT has already been taken (ADB MRIO) and remains to be consolidated (OECD; EUROSTAT)

**STEP 1**
- Adjustments of the conversors
  - ADB
  - OECD
  - WIOD
  - ECLAC

**STEP 2**
- Previous consistency check
- Extraction of the matrices of the base TIVA
  - OECD
- Incorporation of major partners from outside the region:
  - United States
  - China
  - Canada
  - European Union
  - Common partners

**STEP 3**
- Extraction of matrices of the base ADB
  - CEPAL
- 16 Asian Pacific countries which are not in TIVA
- LAC and Asia complete FOCALAE Countries

**STEP 4**
- Reconciliation of international trade statistics
  - COMTRADE
  - TIVA-OECD
  - Imported UI opening and final exports by partners
  - Vector Rest of the World of new countries of the system

**STEP 5**
- GLOBAL I-O T ASSEMBLY
This matrix has been the basis of several studies developed by ECLAC.
Agenda

• Value chain analysis with IOT
• Convergence of Regional IOT initiatives
• Progress between 2020 and 2021
• Challenges ahead and next steps
Origin, History and Development: Steps to expand coverage in the region

Works with National IOT; International Trade Data

Assessment: Eora MRIO - GTAP - WIOD

Methodological workshops in Brasilia

Preliminary South American IOT; 8 countries 18/11/2015

Launch of Andean Community IOT (2005 and 2011)

Launch of South American IOT; 10 countries 6-07-2016


South-American IOT 2011 (1-08-2018)

Launch of the Central American IOT and First Version of the Regional IOT (July 2019)

SIECA September (2019)


Start of integration of the Regional IOT into a Global IOT

Beginning of work on the development of a new Regional IOT for LAC

Most recent year; More sectors More countries

Project in continuous development: We work to expand the usefulness of IOT approach Employment and CO2 emissions

Launch of Andean Community IOT (2005 and 2011)

Commissioning Project ECLAC IPEA (2013)

First Results for 5 countries; ECLAC and consultant validation analysis

IOT Global CEPAL-ADB 2017

IOT CEPAL-ADB-OCDE 2014

UPDATE EXPAND COUNTRY COVERAGE

continuous process of convergence to include LAC in global initiatives (ECLAC-ADB-OCDE-FIGARO)

José Durán | ECLAC

14. October 2021
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Progress during 2020-2021: Updating of matrices and openness

• Between 2020 and 2021, ECLAC began developing a new Latin American IOT for 2018. This matrix included a broader opening of partners that included Asia Pacific.

• This new IOT not only includes the updating of the 40 sectors of our previous matrices (2005-2011-2014) but also an expansion of sectors.

• In each integration scheme (MERCOSUR, CAN, CACM), matrices with a wider range of sectors will be constructed in order to identify subregional value chains and the particularities of each case.

• The new Latin American IOT will have two versions: The classic one with 40 sectors and an alternative with 56 sectors. (The pivot IOT for each country: 81 sectors)

• This Latin American IOT of 56 sectors will allow convergence with other initiatives (EC FIGARO-JRC, OECD) and project the construction of a global matrix with greater representativeness of both countries and sectors.
• In 2021, the incorporation of the CARIBBEAN countries into ECLAC’s IOT has begun.

• In a first stage, information is being compiled and countries are being contacted for their participation in the project.

• It is a great challenge due to the lack of national accounts information and the lack of updating of the little information available.

• The assembly of the IOTs is also accompanied by capacity building events.
The assembling of the IOTs of selected countries is already in progress.

Haiti, Cuba, Suriname, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago

Our new roadmap: Include more Caribbean countries. A new challenge

The Bahamas
Dominican Republic
Puerto Rico
Antigua y Barbuda
Dominica
Santa Lucia
Barbados
Grenada
Trinidad and Tobago

Share or total Caribbean exports, 2020

Dominican Republic 42%
Trinidad and Tobago 23%
Suriname 6%
Jamaica 6%
Guyana 8%
Belice 1%
The Bahamas 1%
Haiti 5%
Cuba 5%
Barbados 2%
Agenda

• Value chain analysis with IOT
• Convergence of Regional IOT initiatives
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• Challenges ahead and next steps
WHAT'S NEW

• Sectoral expansion from 40 sectors to a larger number of sectors that reflect subregional and regional structures;
• Greater openness in primary products (4) and services (7).
• Convergence with international matrices (OECD; EUROSTAT-FIGARO; Asian Development Bank).

MAIN OBJECTIVES

• Determine a new baseline Pre-pandemic (2018-2019)
• Conduct assessments of the possibilities of economic recovery, considering broader structures, and especially including the participation of modern commercial services.
• Move towards more frequent economic analyses (quarterly indicators if possible).
• To allow for structural change analysis in the post-pandemic period (2022-2023).
• Not only for ECLAC use but for the public.

Add countries, split sectors and improve convergency among initiatives incl. FIGARO
Work in Progress: ECLAC- EC JRC FIGARO for a bi-regional EU-LAC new Input-Output Matrix

• Analysis of similarities between initiatives (value added, output, consumption, investment, exports, etc.);

• Comparison of TIVA indicators of the multiple projects (TIVA OECD; TIVA CEPAL-ESCAP-ADB; TIVA EUROSTAT); bases 2011, 2014 and 2017;

• Inclusion, as far as possible, of Latin American and Caribbean countries in the FIGARO database;

• Possible training/advice in the treatment of asymmetries;

• Assembly of a global input-output table (which includes all projects). The ultimate objective is to be able to include Latin American and Caribbean countries in global analyses.

• Include indicators on emissions and employment
What remains to be done?

• At ECLAC, we are working on automating the access to national accounts information in the region, in order to make more frequent updates and help in short-term public policy decisions.

• These frequent updates will help in the incorporation of the countries of the region in the world matrices with official and verified information.

• It will also allow the creation of new bilateral matrices between different initiatives: ECLAC-FIGARO, ECLAC-ADB and ECLAC-OECD.

• The convergence between all initiatives to a new global matrix, with more sectors, more countries and more reliable information is also on ECLAC’s agenda.
Challenges and Future Steps

Lastly but not less importantly:

- Compatibility of sectoral disaggregation between all TIVA initiatives.
- Shared converters (For production and trade);
- Shared databases and a common methodology;
- Organizing workshops with national institutions to share best practices to help to improve base data;
- Put evidence on the table of policy makers

We have a fertile field of cooperation between ADB, OECD, JRC, EUROSTAT and ECLAC.
we have much more room for fruitful cooperation
Conclusion
4. Cierre y evaluación
https://es.research.net/r/Caribe_Post-eval
or scan the QR-Code:
ONLINE COURSE ON THE USE AND INTERPRETATION OF TRADE INDICATORS, INCLUDING INPUT OUTPUT ANALYSIS

José Durán Lima
Daniel Diaz
Carlos Ludeña

Ira Ronzheimer

Regional Integration Unit
International Trade and Integration Division,
ECLAC, United Nations

Santiago de Chile, 17th December 2021
APPENDIX
1. Download trade data from the UN’s database Comtrade: https://comtrade.un.org/data/
✓ Download the data in HS format and select the number of digits (we choose the highest level of disaggregation available, 6 digits).
✓ The HS as reported for 2019 and 2020 refers to the HS 2017.
✓ Data availability is limited, for example there is no trade data for Trinidad & Tobago in Comtrade.
### Using the Comtrade Data Base

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>commoditycode</th>
<th>commodity</th>
<th>qtyunitcode</th>
<th>qtyunit</th>
<th>qty</th>
<th>altqtyunitcode</th>
<th>altqtyunit</th>
<th>altqty</th>
<th>netweightkg</th>
<th>grossweighthtkg</th>
<th>tradevaluem</th>
<th>ciftradevalueus</th>
<th>foctradevalueus</th>
<th>flag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10121</td>
<td>Horses; live, pure-bred breeding animals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Quantit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10129</td>
<td>Horses; live, other than pure-bred breeding animals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Quantit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>56010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10190</td>
<td>Mules and hinneys; live</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11885</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10420</td>
<td>Goats; live</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10511</td>
<td>Poultry; live, fowls of the species Gallus domesticus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>745170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33881</td>
<td>891844</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10512</td>
<td>Poultry; live, turkeys, weighing not more than 185g</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>125715</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6975</td>
<td>163193</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10619</td>
<td>Mammals; live, other than primates, whales, cetacea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Quantit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>455</td>
<td>6372</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10619</td>
<td>Mammals; live, other than primates, whales, cetacea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Quantit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>492</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10620</td>
<td>Reptiles; live (including snakes and turtles)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Quantit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10632</td>
<td>Birds; live, Psittaciformes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7269</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10639</td>
<td>Birds; live, other than birds of prey, Psittaciformes,</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3893</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10639</td>
<td>Birds; live, other than birds of prey, Psittaciformes,</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6687</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10649</td>
<td>Insects; live, other than bees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Quantit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10690</td>
<td>Animals; live, n.e.c. in chapter 01, other than mam</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3055</td>
<td>45237</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10690</td>
<td>Animals; live, n.e.c. in chapter 01, other than mam</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>570</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>27605</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20120</td>
<td>Meat; of bovine animals, cuts with bone in (exclu)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Weight in k</td>
<td>6122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6122</td>
<td>29397</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130</td>
<td>Meat; of bovine animals, boneless cuts, fresh or chi</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Weight in k</td>
<td>176043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>176043</td>
<td>10538265</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ If you import the downloaded data to excel, the commodity codes may be shortened to five digits in some cases because some chapters start with a 0 which is dropped in the excel’s number formatting.

✓ Data clearance: classification period perioddesc isleafcode tradeflowcode partneriso ndpartnercode qty ndpartner ndpartneriso customsprocodce customs modeoftransportcode modeoffontransport altqtyunitcode altqtyunit altqty grossweightkg ciftradevalueus foctradevalueus flag aggregatelevel reportercode reporteriso partnercode

✓ For more information on the Comtrade Methodology: https://unstats.un.org/wiki/display/comtrade