



Resulting  
REDD+ work  
streams from  
Doha's COP18

April 17-19, 2013  
Santiago, Chile

Forest & Climate Initiative  
Josefina Braña Varela,  
Policy Lead

Body	Thematic coverage	Inter-session	CoP19	Output
COP	<p>Work Program on results-based finance</p> <p>Two co-chairs</p> <p>(a) Ways and means to transfer payments for results-based actions;</p> <p>(b) Ways to incentivize non-carbon benefits;</p> <p>(c) Ways to improve the coordination of results-based finance;</p> <p>Co-chairs to coordinate activities with SBSTA</p>	In – session workshop	In – session workshop	Report for consideration at CoP19 with a view to adopting a decision in this matter
SBSTA / SBI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve coordination of “implementation”</li> <li>• Consider institutional arrangements or potential governance alternatives</li> </ul>	In – session workshop SBSTA/SBI 38	Consider report @ SBSTA/SBI 39	Recommendations to the CoP19
SBSTA	<p>Previous work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assessment for RL/REs (1)</li> <li>• Monitoring (3)</li> <li>• MRV (4)</li> <li>• Triggers for safeguards of support (5)</li> <li>• Drivers of deforestation (2)</li> </ul> <p>New work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How to develop non-market approaches</li> <li>• Methodological issues for non-carbon benefits</li> </ul>	Agenda item in SBSTA 38 & 39	Consider Report	Report for consideration at CoP19

# COP Work Program on Results-based Finance

Aim to contribute to the ongoing efforts to scale up and improve the effectiveness of finance for the activities referred to in decision 1/CP.16, paragraph 70, taking into account decision 2/CP.17, paragraphs 66 and 67 (market & non-market-based approaches)



# COP Work Program on Results-based Finance

The WP will address options, taking into account a wide variety of sources, including:

- (a) Ways and means to **transfer payments** for results-based actions;
- (b) Ways to **incentivize** non-carbon benefits;
- (c) Ways to **improve the coordination** of results-based finance;

# Expected results from the COP WP

- **Coordination** with SBSTA
- **Report** on the 2 workshops for consideration of COP19
- A **decision** would be expected for COP19, therefore the WP shall end in Warsaw (unless Parties decide otherwise)

\* Recognizes the need to improve the coordination of support for the implementation of REDD+ activities

# SBSTA / SBI joint process

- To **jointly** initiate a process to improve the **coordination of support** for the implementation of REDD+ activities, and
- To consider **institutional arrangements** or potential **governance alternatives** (including a body, a board or a committee)

# SBSTA / SBI: Process

- **Submissions** requested by March
- Secretariat to produce a **Misc. document** for consideration of the SBs in their 38<sup>th</sup> session
- **In-session workshop** SBs 38<sup>th</sup> session
- **Report** of the workshop to be prepared and presented for consideration in the SBs 39<sup>th</sup> session (COP19)

# SBSTA

Two new items are added to the list to report by COP19 (in addition to the 5 pending items):

- How non-market-based approaches, such as joint mitigation and adaptation approaches for the integral and sustainable management of forests, could be developed
- To initiate work on methodological issues related to non-carbon benefits



# Discusión

Cuáles son los temas mas relevantes para este grupo en el resto del año?

Cómo podrían priorizarse las discusiones en SBSTA?

Que temas podrían seleccionarse para el segundo seminario del año?

# Institutional Arrangements for REDD+



# Recapitulación de las discusiones

Contexto: discusiones y texto resultante de la inter-sesional en Bangkok

Problemas:

- Falta de coordinación en el financiamiento y apoyo para la implementación de REDD+
- Flujo de recursos ineficiente
- Falta de claridad sobre la manera de llegar a la fase 3 de REDD+
- Falta de claridad sobre una ventana de REDD+ en el FCV

# Posiciones de los países sobre el tema

## Polarización de las discusiones:

En general, oposición a la creación de un Comité para REDD+

- Tiempo que tomaría establecerlo
- Dudas sobre la necesidad de crearlo (funciones?)

# Recap on SBSTA discussions for NFMS, RLS y MRV

# Recapitulación de las discusiones en SBSTA 37

Continuaron las discusiones sobre:

- Sistemas Nacionales de Monitoreo Forestal (1.CP/16)
- Medición, reporte y Verificación (MRV) (1.CP/16)
- Hubo algunos avances en materia de niveles de referencia

# Puntos relevantes para NFMS

El texto sobre **NFMS** estaba casi limpio. Algunos puntos relevantes que se discutieron...

NFMS should:

- Provide data and information that are transparent, consistent over time, and are suitable for measuring, reporting and verifying anthropogenic forest-related emissions;
- Build upon existing systems, as appropriate;
- Enable the assessment of different types of forest in the country, including natural forest, as defined by the Party;
- Be flexible and allow for improvement;
- Reflect, as appropriate, the phased-approach as referred to in decision 1/CP.16, paragraphs 73 and 74;



# Puntos relevantes para MR

El texto referente al tema de **Medición y Reporte** también mostró avances. Algunos puntos relevantes que se discutieron...

- MRV is to be consistent with the methodological guidance provided in decision 4/CP.15, and any guidance on measuring, reporting and verification of nationally appropriate mitigation actions by developing country Parties;
- Data and information should be transparent, consistent over time and with the established forest reference emission levels and/or forest reference levels in accordance with decision 1/CP.16, paragraph 71(b) and (c) and section II of decision 12/CP.17;
- Data and information referred to in paragraph 9 above should be provided through the biennial update reports



# Discusiones sobre la V

El tema de la **Verificación** ocupó la mayor parte de las discusiones y hubo posiciones muy polarizadas:

- International Consultation and Analysis
- Proceso de verificación internacional e independiente, utilizando un padrón de expertos

# Discusión

Cuáles son las distintas opiniones en relación al tema de la Verificación?

Es el momento de decidir sobre el tema de la verificación?

Posiciones sobre el tema del technical assessment para RL?