

Consistency in Balance of Payments and Rest of the World possible reasons and a country integration project in the EU Item 4 Peter GAL, C2 Based on the work of C5 and Robert OBRZUT

Primera Reunión sobre armonización de Cuentas Nacionales (CCNN), Balanza de Pagos y Posición de Inversión Internacional (BP y PII) en el marco del SCN 2008 y el MBP6 Brasilia- Brasil, 27-29 de Junio de 2017







- Inconsistencies between National Accounts and Balance of payments the possible reasons in the EU
- Ensuring consistency a way forward: a country example BOP / NA integration project
- Recommendation



Main issues (BoP/RoW) surveys possible explanation to the discrepancies given by EU Member States 2017







Methodological differences highlights (2)



- BPM6 and SNA provide different guidance for the coverage of transportation costs and different **CIF/FOB imputation** practices generate discrepancies.
- the requirement of exhaustiveness for the compilation of illegal trade is not fully complied.
- SNA/ESA do not include a concept and an explicit definition of "travel"
- SNA/ESA do not include a concept like "government goods and services " in BoP.



Methodological differences highlights (3)



- SNA/ESA and BPM6 are not precise enough and show methodological discrepancies in the recording of transactions related to package tour expenditures.
- Conceptual discrepancies between ESA and BPM6: FIs definition; treatment of interbank loans and negative FISIM. ESA and BPM6 provide different guidance for computation of external reference rates.
- in some extent, BoP and NA do not have a consistent statistical treatment of SPEs.



Country A integration example (1)

- In 2013, it was decided that external statistics (BoP, IIP) were to be moved from Bank to Statistics Institute
- In the transitory phase (2014 2016) they have been compiling these statistics in the NSI using Bank's system remotely
- In 2017, BoP compilation will be completely integrated into NA compilation system



CONSISTENCY

The integration project country A(2)



Eurostat



The integration project country A (3)

- Integration will bring great benefits
- harmonized compilation schedule will notably reduce vintage differences
- automated data transfers ensure consistency
- shared processes and work community of NA and BoP staff contribute to better quality
- Integrating BoP into existing NA system is probably easier than building a whole new system for the two statistics
- Integrated compilation process requires extensive planning and some speeding-up of compilation processes
 BUT
- A very large amount of work
- The project demands both technical and substance expertise => personnel risks are notable





The integration project, lessons learned country A (4)



- Integrating BoP into existing NA system is probably easier than building a whole new system for the two statistics
- If they achieve to integrate the compilation and publication timetables, there should not be vintage differences
- Integrated compilation process requires extensive planning and some speeding-up of compilation processes



Country B integration example (1)

BOP/IIP compilation (Bank)

Since 2003 based on direct reporting of transactions and stocks by all sectors (but households), with full geographical detail, on a monthly basis. Some additional reporting on FDI earnings and FDI stocks annually

Sector accounts compilation (Statistics Institute)

Quarterly and annual cycles integrating multiple sources (surveys as well as administrative), including information from the Bank concerning financial sectors and BOP/IIP. Financial as well as nonfinancial accounts

Significant differences between BOP/IIP and ROW account due to:

- Different (use of) sources
- Adjustments to integrate ROW data in sector accounts •
- Different revision practices (actuality vs. continuity)







The integration project country B (2)

Full consistency between BOP and ROW-account as integrated statistics

 A new survey for all financial sectors (operated by the Bank) and NFCs (operated by Statistics Institute) serving sector statistics, sector accounts and the BOP/IIP. Surveys are primarily based on statistical definitions from ESA2010/BPM6



The integration project country B (3)

Full consistency between BOP and ROW-account as integrated

- The Bank responsible for compiling financial sectors ("ESAcompliant"), The Statistics Institute for non-financial sectors and overall set of sector accounts. Joint responsibility for the ROW account and BOP/IIP on a quarterly and annual basis
- Joint integration of BOP and ROW account within sector accounts. Close cooperation of National accounts experts, BOP/IIP experts as well as financial sector experts in integration process is expected to foster the quality of both institutions' statistics



The integration project country B lessons learned conclusion (4),



14

- The Bank and Statistics Institute's management has committed to realise full consistency between the country BOP/IIP and ROW account, giving one uniform message to users
- Quality of financial sector statistics, sector accounts and BOP/IIP is expected to improve by close cooperation of NA experts and Bank experts in the sector accounts integration
- Processes will be aligned and more efficient
- The bank and Statistics Institute will spend much less time comparing and reconciling double reported data
- Respondents have to complete only one survey (which is a bit more elaborate)
- Clear choice for focusing on quarterly data of good quality, supplemented with monthly trade in goods, MFI and securities statistics



Recommendation for the way forward

- Active monitoring of consistency
- Organisational impact-national coordination issues, integrated compilation
- Synchronization of production and revision cycles
- Mediating between national counterparts
- Methodological follow up in BPM and ESA revisions



Eurostat